

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No. 10/668,638	Applicant(s) SMITH ET AL.	
	Examiner Blane J. Jackson	Art Unit 2618	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_

 (1) Blane J. Jackson.

(3) \_\_\_\_

 (2) Gregory J. Gorrie.

(4) \_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 8 June 2006
**Time:** \_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**
*Non Final Rejection filed 24 March 2006*
**Claims discussed:**
*claims 23-28.*
**Prior art documents discussed:**
*general prior art concerning active filters*
**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*The applicant's representative was requested to consider an amendment to claims 23 and 26 as regards the ambiguity created by, in the examiner's opinion, functional language.*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)